When discussing scriptural issues, there seems to be a prevalent lack of understanding regarding the concept of the Torah of Moses (Old Covenant) and its relationship to the Abrahamic Covenants of Promise.

Some believe that the Torah (Law) has been completely done away with including the Ten Commandments and that we are now under grace. Still others, on the other hand, maintain that the Torah of Moses is still in force and must be adhered to, including all of the works that are contained within it. There is another viewpoint that the Torah is only for the Jews and that non-Jews need not “labor under the law (Torah).”

Within the scope of this study, we will endeavor to arrive at a proper understanding of what constitutes the Torah of Moses. We will then determine if, in fact, the Torah has been completely done away with or if all or any part of it is still applicable. This study shall also demonstrate, among other things, that the Torah given at Mount Sinai was a marriage covenant between Yahweh and the nation of Israel, which was brought about due to Israelite transgressions against the Abrahamic Covenants of Promise.

The Torah of Moses was used to teach the Israelites what sin (transgression) was, thereby revealing in written form the conditions of the earlier verbal Covenants of Promise. It merely put into writing those original conditions and augmented them with judgments. Nevertheless, the Israelites continued to break their marriage vows. As a result, under the condition to obey Yahweh’s voice, the Old Covenant was further augmented with what became known as the “works of the Law.”

An Augmentation

The Torah (Law), or Old Covenant, came into existence several centuries after the Covenants of Promise were given to Abraham. This Torah or Old Covenant was, in fact, an augmentation of the Abrahamic Covenants of Promise. William Smith reminds us that it is “all-important, for the proper understanding of the law, to remember its entire dependence on the Abrahamic covenant.” In form, the Old Covenant was a marriage covenant between Yahu Yahweh and the nation of Israel. It was intended to bind the Israelites to the conditions of the Abrahamic Covenants (also referred to in the singular as the Abrahamic Covenant) by a written contract: If you do this, then I will do this. The Torah is called the “Old Covenant” to distinguish it from the “New Covenant” of marriage that Yahweh is yet to make with the elect of Israel.

Accordingly, the expression “Old Covenant” must not be confused with earlier covenants,
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1. That obeying the voice of Yahweh eloahi was a condition of the Abrahamic Covenants see Gen., 26:5. This condition is also reflected in the Mount Sinai covenant at Exod., 23:20–22.
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such as the Covenants of Promise made with Abraham.

The Torah was established at Mount Sinai, only a few weeks after the Exodus when Israel came out of Egypt, in the third month of that year. The precepts of this marriage agreement declared in writing that if the Israelites obeyed Yahweh’s voice and kept his commandments, statutes, and judgments, then Yahweh would give them the land—from the Suph Sea (Gulf of Aqaba) unto the Palestim Sea (Mediterranean), and from the wilderness (of Sinai) unto the River (Euphrates), i.e., the Promised Land. All the people of Israel agreed, and the marriage covenant was ratified.

According to Scriptures, although the Torah gives the inheritance as a reward for keeping the marriage covenant, it does not annul the promises of inheritance given to Abraham in the Covenants of Promise. Saul reports, “For if those of the Torah are the heirs, trust has been made empty, and the promise is of no effect.”

Put another way, if the inheritance only went to those who came under the Torah (Old Covenant) and its works, then what worth are the Covenants of Promise given much earlier to Abraham and his seed (the messiah)? Did not Yahweh give an oath to fulfill his covenant with Abraham swearing to do so by his sacred name?

In his epistle to the Galatians, Saul begins to clarify this issue when he writes:

“This now I say, a covenant confirmed beforehand by the deity unto the messiah, the Torah having taken place 430 years after, does not annul so as to make of no effect the promise; because if the inheritance is out of the Torah, it is no longer out of promise. But to Abraham, through promise, the deity granted it.”

This statement confirms that the inheritance is not accomplished solely from the Torah given at Mount Sinai, even though the Torah allows for the inheritance if all of its precepts are kept. Instead, the Covenants of Promise were granted to Abraham, and as with any man’s covenant agreement, it cannot be changed.

Neither can any scripture be broken, nor would Yahweh change it, for Yahweh does not change. These promises were later confirmed with Jacob a full 430 years before the marriage covenant of the Torah was made at Mount Sinai.

Further, since the Eternal Inheritance was promised in the covenants given to Abraham and did not originate in the Torah (Old Covenant), the inheritance found in the Torah must itself somehow be derived from the Covenants of Promise. The next question naturally arises, “If the Eternal Inheritance was already promised in the Covenants of Promise, why bring about another covenant granting the same inheritance?” Saul explains:

“Why then the Torah? It was prossete (prosethe; an augmentation) for the sake of transgressions, until should have come the sperma (sperma; group of seed, the elect) to whom the promise has been made, having been ordained through angels in a mediator’s
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12 Gal., 3:17f.
13 Compare with Gal., 3:15f.
14 Compare with John, 10:35.
16 The Abrahamic Covenants of Promise were not confirmed with Abraham, as many have so often incorrectly assumed. Scriptures specifically report that they were confirmed years later with Jacob (Ps., 105:8–10; 1 Chron., 16:14–18).
17 Prosete (prosethe) means, “to place additionally, i.e. lay beside, annex, repeat” (SEC: Strong’s Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible, Gk. #4369); “to add . . . i.e. to make oath and then add the statement . . . to make additions, to augment . . . esp. of adding articles to documents” (GEL: An Intermediate Greek-English Lexicon. Founded upon the seventh ed. of Liddell and Scott’s Greek-English Lexicon. At the Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1961, p. 698).
18 The sperma (sperma; group of seed) are identified in Gen., 17:9f, 24:60 (compare with the LXX for the Greek term). These are the collective plural seed, united as one group, which form the elect (compare with Gal., 3:29; Rom., 4:16–18, 9:7–8).
hand. But the mediator is not (the mediator of one, but the deity is one. Is the Torah then against the promises of the deity? May it not be. For if a Torah was given which was able to quicken, indeed by a Torah would have been justification; but the Scriptures shut all things under sin, that the promise out of trust might be given to those that trust Yahushua the messiah. 19

There is an essential difference between an epidiatassetai (epidiatassetai; supplement),20 which is forbidden in this covenant and contract, and a prosetethe (prosetethe; augmentation), which has been provided by the Torah. A supplement can contain additions that contradict the original agreement, while an augmentation merely expands or restricts the requirements already allowed for by the original covenant agreement.

For example, if a condition of the Abrahamic Covenants is that one must obey Yahweh, Yahweh can later augment that covenant by having his heirs build a Tabernacle and associate with that artifact a priesthood and certain religious services of cleanliness, as long as these works do not contradict the conditions of the original covenant. On the other hand, if a condition of the covenant is that you shall not steal, Yahweh cannot later command that you can or should steal. Augmentations allow for the establishment of specified customs in dress, foods, and cleansing rites—all found as fleshly works of the Torah (of Moses)—which were meant to keep one ritualistically clean.

The Judaism expression “משי החול (mashi ha-Torah; works of the Torah)” was used by the apostle Saul in the 1st century C.E. to define these augmentations.22 They refer specifically to the purity laws found in the written Torah of Moses. This detail is confirmed by the fact that this label was given to the contents of a Hebrew manuscript (MMT) written by a contemporary Jewish community located at Qumran.23 Among the subjects listed under this heading were rulings concerning the cleansing of lepers, the barring of the blind and deaf from the Temple, the restriction of intermarriage with the Ammonites and Moabites, the prohibition of intermarriage between the priests and commoners, the prohibition against plowing with, unlike animals, the use of hides and bones of unclean animals, as well as the rules for purification, offerings, sacrifices, and other such things.24 As Martin Abegg comments, the aim of this work “was clearly to call attention to matters that trespass the boundaries between the pure and impure.”25 We will confirm this definition as we proceed.

Therefore, the Torah of Moses or Old Covenant came about because the Israelites had transgressed the conditions of the Abrahamic Covenants of Promise.
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20 See above Chap. II, p. 38, n. 29.
21 Gal., 3:15f.
22 The Hebrew expression “משי החול (mashi ha-Torah; works of the Torah)” is equivalent to the Greek expression ἐργάν νόμου (ergon nomou; works of the Law) as used to describe the augmentations by Saul (e.g., at Rom., 3:20, 28; Gal., 2:16, 3:2, 5, 10), see A Concordance to the Septuagint and the Other Greek Versions of the Old Testament (including the Apocryphal Books). Edwin Hatch and Henry A. Redpath. 3 vols.; reprinted by Baker Book House, Grand Rapids, Michigan, 1991. 1, pp. 541–544, s.v. ἐργάν. Also see BAR: Biblical Archaeology Review 20.6 (Nov./Dec. 1994), pp. 52–55.
25 BAR 20.6 (Nov./Dec. 1994), p. 53. Nevertheless, Martin Abegg’s conclusion that Saul was only addressing the members of the early Christian Assembly who had belonged to the Essene sect is clearly inaccurate. Saul’s reference was to the entire body of purity laws found in the Torah of Moses and as practiced by mainstream Judaism, which included the Pharisees, Sadducees, Essenes, and others. The fact that the Essenes provide us the only remaining record of the phrase “works of the law” does not mean that 1st century C.E. Judaism as a whole did not know or understand the meaning of this phrase.
augmented the requirements of those earlier covenants. What then were these augmentations, and how are they different from the original conditions? Furthermore, did these augmentations bring into existence the festival celebrations and sacred days or merely dress them with customs? To answer these questions, we must examine more precisely what makes up the Torah and why it was considered the proper response to Israelite transgression.

**The Torah: A Series of Covenants**

The covenant of the Torah of Moses was much more than the agreement made at Mount Sinai. Rather, it became a series of handwritten augmentations created because the Israelites were unable to keep their covenant with Yahweh. These augmentations encompassed everything from building a Tabernacle and restricting the priesthood to the family of Levi to establishing further covenants, *khoquth*, and *torath*, including regulations for cleanliness, food offerings, required sacrifices, and burnt offerings.

These augmentations developed as follows: To begin with, the Covenants of Promise granted to Abraham, supported by an oath to Isaac, and confirmed to Jacob as a statute, were in the form of a verbal contract. Nothing was placed in writing. It was backed only by the word of Yahweh. Centuries later, Yahweh reports that he revealed himself to the Israelites while they were in Egypt, requesting them not to defile themselves with the idols of Egypt, i.e., they should obey Yahweh and not commit idolatry (*follow other Elohim*). In turn, he would bring them into the Promised Land. It is manifest that obedience to Yahweh includes obeying his commandments, statutes, and laws which he had earlier attached as conditions to the Covenants of Promise and to which Abraham was subject.

Contrary to the conditions of the Covenants of Promise, the Israelites rebelled by disobeying. Nevertheless, Yahweh did not destroy them while they were in Egypt. He was unwilling to destroy them for the reason of his name’s sake so that his *šem* (*name; honor*) would not be profaned among the nations. Put another way, he continued with them because his sacred name was attached to the Covenants of Promise, sworn to by an oath. If he failed to accomplish these covenants by giving the Israelites the land as an inheritance, then the other nations would speak ill of the worth of Yahweh’s word as sworn to Abraham. Yahweh’s *šem* (*name; honor*) was at stake.

When Yahweh brought the Israelites out of Egypt and into the wilderness, he gave them knowledge of his statutes and judgments, “which if the *adam* (mankind) does them he will live in them; and also my Sabbaths to be a sign between me and them, that they might know that I am Yahweh, who sets them apart.” Such statements are important, for we know that Yahweh’s statutes, laws, and commandments were revealed even before the Israelites arrived at Mount Sinai. Further, the statement that the Israelites were given knowledge of Yahweh’s “*šabbathuth* (Sabbaths),” in the plural, demonstrates that the high Sabbaths, as well as the weekly Sabbaths, were meant. Of interest for our study is the fact that among these statutes, laws, and commandments known prior to the Israelites
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28 Ezek., 20:8f.
29 Gen., 22:15–18; Exod., 6:8; Heb., 6:11–19; compare with SNY, chap. iii.
31 Ezek., 20:10–12.
33 When Yahweh referred only to the weekly Sabbath, it was normally spoken of in the singular (e.g., Exod., 20:8–14, 31:14–16; Lev., 23:3; Deut., 5:12–15; etc.). Yet when all the various Sabbath days (weekly or other *sabbathion*) are being included, they are referred to in the plural (e.g., Lev., 23:37–39, 26:2; Isa., 1:13, 56:4; Lam., 1:7, 2:6; Ezek., 20:12–24, 22:8, 26, 23:38; 44:24, 45:17, 46:3; Hos., 2:11; etc.). Also, when a number of weekly or seventh-year Sabbaths were counted as a group to give a total figure, they were spoken of in the plural (e.g., Lev., 23:15, 25:8).
arriving at Mount Sinai, there were those dealing with the Sabbath day and the Festival of Unleavened Bread and Phasekh (Passover) of which specific mention is made.34

After the Israelites arrived at Mount Sinai, the marriage contract of the Old Covenant was made due to continued Israelite transgression. Two important things occurred. First, the covenant was placed into writing, clearly spelling out what was required. Second, appended to the conditions of the Ten Commandments were the statutes augmented with the μyfpçm (mashaphatim; judgments)35—judgments being statutes that render criminal decisions if a required commandment or statute is broken.36 Previously, only the requirements of the Covenants of Promise were expressed (though punishment, such as death, is clearly allowed for).37 With the advent of the Torah (Old Covenant) at Mount Sinai, specific types of punishment for breaking these requirements were declared in written form.

The Torah’s authority to continue with further augmentations is also found among the Old Covenant statutes. The Old Covenant commanded that the Israelites must “guard from the face” of the angel who carries the name of Yahweh,38 i.e., the angel of the covenant who swore an oath by his sacred name to give Abraham the promises.39 It commanded the Israelites to “listen to his voice; do not be rebellious against him, for he will not forgive your transgression, for my name is on him.”40 This angel carrying the name Yahweh is called Yahu Yahweh, the deity (eloah) who became Yahushua the messiah.41

Of course, being careful does not mean that one is to listen to the voice of the angel Yahweh if he adds to the conditions of the covenant instructions outside what is allowed for in the original Abrahamic Covenants of Promise. Such supplements, as we have already proven, are forbidden.42 However, if the Israelites transgressed its conditions, the angel Yahu Yahweh was allowed to augment the marriage covenant. These augmentations were subjoined as part of the Old Covenant, and are in part, known as the “works of the Torah (Law)”43. For instance, the original statutes found in the Torah (Old Covenant) at Mount Sinai command that the Israelites were to keep the Festival of Tabernacles.44 The works of the Torah later augmented this statute with the custom that the Israelites should also sleep in tabernacles (tents, booths) during the Festival of Tabernacles.45

After the incident of the Israelites building the golden calf—thereby committing idolatry and breaking their marriage agreement with Yahweh46—the angel Yahu Yahweh kept augmenting the conditions of the covenant with commandments, statutes, and laws specifying in greater detail what was required. From this process, the works of the Torah (e.g., sacrifices, cleansing rites, washings, etc.) also came into existence. For example, after the sin of the golden calf, Yahweh made another covenant with the Israelites,47 and then later added the Levitical regulations.48

The key point is that the majority of these statutes and laws forming the works of the Torah had no severe adverse penalty. To

36 A μyfpçm (mashaphat), plural μyfpçm (mashaphatim), is “prop. a verdict (favorable or unfavorable) pronounced judicially, espec. a sentence or formal decree” (SEC, Heb. #4941).
37 For example, if one breaks the conditions of the Abrahamic Covenants, he does not receive the eternal inheritance. Without the Eternal Inheritance giving life, one is left with eternal death.
38 Exod., 23:20f.
42 Gal., 3:15.
43 E.g., see additions in Exod., 34:23–26; Lev., 23:1–44; Num., 28:1–29:40; Deut., 16:1–17. That these regulations are among the statutes and judgments see Deut., 7:11, 26:16–17.
44 Exod., 23:16.
48 These regulations are found in the book of Leviticus. This book belongs to the period just before the first month of the second year of the Exodus era (Exod., 40:1; compare with Num., 1:1).
demonstrate, if unclean meat was eaten, the most that would happen is that the guilty person would be declared unclean and unable to attend sacred ceremonies, enter the Tabernacle, or remain in the Israelite camp. Breaking one of the commandments, statutes, or laws that were also attached to the Abrahamic Covenants, on the other hand, met with severe consequences.49

Nevertheless, the Israelites continued to rebel, once more breaking the statutes and laws and profaning the Sabbaths.50 Indeed, Yahweh counted “ten” rebellions from the time he began to bring them out of Egypt until they arrived for the first time at Qadesh Barnea, including their rebellion at Mount Sinai.51 For his name’s sake, Yahweh did not destroy Israel, but neither did he bring that generation (those who had left Egypt at the age of 20 years and upward) into the Promised Land.52

After the death of almost all of that generation who had left Egypt, Yahweh told the children of the next generation to walk in his statutes and judgments and keep his Sabbaths.53 Once more, they rebelled, this time in the incident at Shittim with Baal-peor.54 As a result, at the end of a 40-year sojourn in the wilderness, Yahweh “gave them statutes not good and judgments by which they could not live,”55 i.e., he made the Deuteronomic Covenant, an augmentation of the Torah of Moses which is recorded in the book of Deuteronomy.56 The Deuteronomic Covenant put in place curses that would come upon the Israelites if they once more failed to listen to the voice of Yahweh and observe his commanded statutes and judgments57—the ultimate curse being death.58

When Moses died, such augmentations ceased. The books of Moses were, in turn, followed by the books of the prophets (from Joshua to Malachi), providing the official history of Israel and prophecies of what will be in the future. The Old Testament, as a result, is often referred to as “the Torah and the Prophets.”59 Because Israel continued to sin, Yahweh did not enter into his great Sabbath rest in Zion, leaving that entry for a future time.60

Dogmasin

Our next effort is to define the Greek term δόγμα (dogma), plural δόγματα (dogmasin), and judge its use by Saul when he writes that all of our offenses having been forgiven us by the messiah, “having blotted out the handwriting in the δόγματα (dogmasin), which was adverse to us, also he has taken it out of the midst, having nailed it to the (torture-)stake.”61 Does this mean that all the commandments, khoquth


50 Also see the curses pronounced in Lev., 26:1f., 14–46 (esp. v. 46, which notes that the statutes referred to were only those made at Mount Sinai); Deut., 27:1–26, 28:15–68. Similarly, the non-observance of a sacred khag and its Sabbath resulted in exile from the nation or a severe curse (e.g., Exod., 12:11–20; Zech., 14:16–19; Ezek., 20:12–17).


52 Num., 14:19–25.


56 Ezek., 20:25.


59 Deut., 30:19.


(statutes), and torath (laws) named in the Torah are no longer applicable?

A δόγμα (dogma) is “a public decree,” which is also an “ordinance.” The dogma (decreed) from Caesar for a census registration and his decree that there should be no other king save Caesar are two examples given in Scriptures. By comparing the LXX with the MT, we also discover that the Hebrew/Aramaic word underlying the Greek term δόγμα (dogma) is דת (dath), “a royal edict or statute.” These decrees establish national customs and rituals. To demonstrate, in Daniel, we read the story of how some Babylonian officials created a dath. They spoke to King Nebuchad-nezzar, saying:

All the presidents of the kingdom took counsel together, the nobles and the satraps, the royal officials and the governors, to establish a royal μυστικός (qeyam; an edict [as arising in law]) and to make a strong ban that whoever shall ask a petition of any eloah or male for 30 days, except from you king, he shall be thrown into a pit of lions. Now king, establish the ban and sign the document that it may not be changed as a dath (LXX δόγμα; dogma; public decree) of the Medes and Persians, which does not pass away. So King Darius signed the document and the ban (LXX δόγμα). And Daniel, when he knew that the document was signed (LXX “was commanded the δόγμα”), he went to his house.

These examples all reveal that a dath or dogma is the establishment of statute and custom by public decree, based upon present circumstances. They are often temporary, as reflected by the 30-day period in the above example.

Saul further narrows his definition when he states that these annulled dogmasin were handwritten. The Abrahamic Covenants of Promise were a verbal agreement. There is no doubt that the dogmasin of which Saul speaks are only those decrees that were handwritten and placed in the book of Moses. Never-theless, as we shall see, just because we are now under grace and not under the Mosaic Law (Torah of Moses) does not mean that the commandments or the entirety of the laws, statutes (i.e., those kept by Abraham), and dogmasin statutes (i.e., those established under Moses) found in the Torah are annulled.

The dogmasin about which Saul and the other apostles speak are further clarified when they note that a dogma, such as the statute to circumcise all males in the flesh of their foreskin, are “after the ἐθεί (ethei; custom) of Moses” and are found in the “Torah of Moses.” The 1st century C.E. Jewish priest Philo speaks of the νόμους καὶ ἑθέσεως (nomoi kai ethesin; laws and customs) which he (Moses) had ordained. Customs are merely forms of actions practiced as a matter
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64 SEC, Heb., #1881. HEL, p. 64, “edict, mandate, law.”
65 SEC, Heb. #7010.
67 Col., 2:14.
of course among the people. The customs established by the statutes and laws of Moses included such things as their type of dress, how to wear one’s beard, when things become clean or unclean, commanded sacrifices, and the like.

The *dogmasin* nailed to the stake and annull ed, as mentioned by Saul in his epistles to the Colossians and Ephesians,\(^70\) include the idea of customs wherein “you may not handle, you may not taste, you may not touch, which things are all unto corruption in the using,”\(^71\) that is, they are works of the flesh. Also mentioned as one of the *dogmasin* was the custom of circumcising the flesh of the foreskin,\(^72\) which is also a work of the flesh found in the handwritten Torah of Moses. Under grace, the law of commandments in *dogmasin*, which include fleshly circumcision, has been annulled.\(^73\) Such things are classified as “works of the Torah (Law).”\(^74\) A further example includes the ordinances of service in the Tabernacle. These offerings and works were an allegory, being only in meats and drinks and various washings, and ordinances of the flesh, and lasted only “until is imposed the time of setting things right.”\(^75\)

Josephus, a Jewish priest of the 1st century C.E., reports that “there happened to come around the festival called Phasekh, at which it is our ἐθος (*ethos*; custom)\(^76\) to offer numerous sacrifices to the deity.”\(^77\) Therefore, the authority for offering sacrifices, such as the Phasekh sacrifice, comes as a legal custom. Importantly, the original Phasekh sacrifice performed in Egypt was only a one-time event. After the Israelites left Egypt during the Exodus, Yahweh did not require any further sacrifices or burnt offerings from them.\(^78\) This fact alone proves that the sacrifices and burnt offerings later added by means of the Torah of Moses were not a requirement under the Covenants of Promise given to Abraham, for which purpose the Israelites had been brought out of Egypt. Instead, it was due to the fact that the Israelites continued to sin that these sacrifices and burnt offerings were brought into force under the Torah of Moses.

These statements are all vital clues. They tell us that those things nailed to the stake at the messiah’s death were brought into existence by decrees which established certain ordinances or statutes, called laws and customs. These decrees were handwritten by Moses on a scroll, forming the Torah of Moses. Because they are public decrees, they do not act as eternal laws or commandments. Rather, they are temporary and conditional. Neither do they represent the entire Torah. This point is established by Saul’s statement that these particular annulled *dogmasin* were “against us” and “adverse to us.”\(^79\) This statement is important because it carries with it the thought that there can also be *dogmasin* that are helpful to us.
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\(^70\) Eph., 2:11–17; Col., 2:13–15.
\(^71\) Col., 2:20–23. In Colossians these *dogmasin* are “according to the injunctions and teachings of men” (Col., 2:22) and not Yahweh. Nevertheless, they demonstrate what *dogmasin* are.
\(^72\) Eph., 2:8–22. Also see FSDY, App. D.
\(^73\) Compare with Deut., 26:16f, “This day Yahweh your *eloah* commands you to do these statutes and the judgments.” Nevertheless, these commanded statutes and judgments, or *dogmasin*, are not the royal commandments, such as the Ten Commandments.
\(^75\) Heb., 9:1–28, esp. v. 10.
\(^76\) The Greek term ἐθος (*ethos*) means, “custom, habit” (GEL, p. 480).
\(^78\) Jer., 7:21–23.
\(^79\) Col., 2:14.
Indeed, Saul and the other apostles make the point that not all of the handwritten dogmasin in the Torah of Moses—which form the augmenting statutes, laws, and customs—were annulled. To demonstrate, among the decreed statutes given by Moses, there yet stand four types of dogmasin that apply even to this day. Proof of these four dogmasin comes with the doctrinal statement given by the apostles at the Jerusalem Council held in 49 C.E. At this council the apostles addressed the questions of whether or not it was necessary for those converted from the nations to practice circumcision in the flesh of the foreskin “after the custom of Moses,” and if it was necessary to charge them to keep the Torah of Moses in order to “be saved.” Keph scolds those who would put such a burden on these disciples, stating, “Now therefore why do you tempt the deity to put a yoke upon the neck of the disciples, which neither our fathers nor we were able to bear?”

The conclusion of the apostles was declared by Jacob (James), the brother of the messiah, and the bishop of the Christians at Jerusalem. Representing the leadership of the Assembly, he writes:

Wherefore I judge not to trouble those from the nations who turn to the deity; but to write to them to abstain from the pollutions of the idols, and porneia (sexual misconduct), and what is strangled, and (eating) blood.

All four categories are mentioned in the Torah of Moses. A letter was then sent out from the leaders of the Assembly with the following conclusion:

For it seemed good to the sacred ruach (spirit) and to us, no further burden to lay upon you than these necessary things: to abstain from the things sacrificed to idols, and from (eating) blood, and from (eating) what is strangled, and from porneia; from which keeping yourselves, you will do well.
Saul then passed through the cities of the nations instructing them “to keep the δόγματα (dogmata; decrees) as separated out by the apostles and the elders in Jerusalem.” Colleatively, therefore, these four customs are dogmata (decrees), a form of the word dogmasin, which are found in Scriptures. If you keep these particular dogmasin “you will do well,” for they are advantageous for us.

In a later event, Saul was charged with teaching the Jews apostasy by telling them not to circumcise nor to walk “in the ἔθεσις (ethesin; customs)” taught by Moses. Saul responded, “But concerning those who have trusted of the nations we wrote, judging them to observe no such thing, except to keep themselves from things offered to idols, and blood, and what is strangled, and porneia.”

Important to our discussion is the fact that these four dogmasin do not include any of the royal commandments, which are also part of the Torah of Moses. The messiah straightforwardly states:

Think not that I came to abolish the Torah or the prophets: I came not to abolish, but to fulfill. For verily I say to you, Until the heavens and the earth shall pass away, in no wise shall one iota or one tittle pass away from the Torah until all comes to pass. Who-ever then shall break the least one of the commandments, and shall teach men so, shall be called least in the kingdom of the heavens; but whoever shall practice and teach them, this one shall be called great in the kingdom of the heavens. For I say to you, that unless shall abound your righteousness above the scribes and Pharisees, in no wise shall you enter into the kingdom of the heavens.

In another place the messiah advised those wishing to gain eternal life:

But if you desire to enter into life, keep the commandments. He said to him, Which? And Yahushua said, the commandments you know: You shall not commit adultery; You shall not commit murder; You shall not steal; You shall not bear false witness; [“You shall not defraud” (Mark)]; Honor your father and your mother; [“And you shall love you neighbor as yourself” (Matt.).]

Yahushua plainly states, “If you love me, keep my commandments.” These and numerous other statements from Scriptures prove that none of the royal commandments have been set aside even after the death of the messiah.

Indeed, it does not make sense that Yahweh would still require the statute from the Torah of Moses ordering us not to eat blood yet would set aside the commandments that you shall not murder, steal, or commit adultery or negate the...
greater commands to love Yahweh and to love your neighbor as yourself. As a result, it is clear from this evidence that at least four groups of the dogmasin and none of the royal commandments found in the Torah of Moses have ever been annulled. In addition, we have not yet even touched upon the laws and statutes that were in existence prior to the Torah of Moses.

At the same time, Saul pronounces that Yahushua the messiah is the only true offering and is our Phasekh victim. Where remission of sins exist, “there is no more an offering for sin.” Therefore, since the death of the messiah, there is no more need to sacrifice flock animals as a typology of the messiah’s death. Our true Phasekh has already been sacrificed.

**Conclusion**

These details reveal that the popular notion about what the apostle Saul actually meant when he indicated that the dogmasin adverse to us have been annulled by the death of the messiah (i.e., the belief that all the commandments, laws, and statutes of the Torah of Moses have been nailed to the stake) is both misleading and incorrect. Instead, the evidence indicates that the followers of Yahweh must continue to observe the commandments and a number of the laws and statutes found in the Torah of Moses while under grace. At the same time, there are many other statutes and laws which are no longer applicable. The premise is thereby established that, just because one sets aside the authority of the handwritten Torah of Moses, it does not mean that the conditions found in the Abrahamic Covenants of Promise are also annulled (see Chart A, page 12). One must still obey Yahweh, keep his charge, and be subject to the same commandments, statutes, and laws observed by Abraham.

The questions then are prompted: “How do we tell which conditions are still required and which have been nailed to the stake?” Secondly, “In which class do we place the festivals and sacred days of Yahweh?” Adding to the complexity of Saul’s dialogue is the fact that, even though the Torah of Moses shall continue until heaven and earth pass away, we are not under the Torah of Moses but under grace. Does grace eliminate all conditions? On the face of it, it would seem that we have an apparent contradiction. Therefore, a discussion is required to address the issue of grace, and whether or not, if we continue in grace, we are required to keep the conditions of the Abrahamic Covenants of Promise.

---

91 See Matt., 22:34-40; Mark, 12:28-34.
92 Heb., 10:1-18; compare with 1 Cor., 5:7.
93 Heb., 10:18.
94 Compare with Chart M, page 13.
95 Gen., 26:1-5.
96 Gal., 5:18; Rom., 6:14f.
CHART A
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COVENANTS

ABRAHATIC COVENANTS
• Verbal Agreement •

Conditions
Trust in Yahweh
Obey the voice of Yahweh
Keep Yahweh’s charge
Keep Yahweh’s Commandments, Statutes, and Laws

Failure to Keep Conditions
Out of the Covenant = Death

Old Covenant
(with attached covenants = Torah of Moses)
• Written Agreement •

Conditions
Obey the voice of the angel Yahweh
Keep Yahweh’s Commandments, Statutes, and Laws

Augmentations
Obligated to do the Works of the Torah
(i.e., dogmasin both for and against us)

Failure to Keep Conditions
Subject to specific Judgments and Curses
Out of the Covenant = Death
Annulled: 30 C.E.

Beginning: 1439 B.C.E.

Beginning: 2054 B.C.E.

Beginning: 30 C.E.

With the Death of Messiah
Dogmasin against us are nailed to the torture-stake
The four dogmasin that are for us, as found in the Torah of Moses, continue along with the conditions of the Abrahamic Covenants.

Continues to Present Time
CHART M
COVENANTS OF YAHWEH

Yahu Covenant

Adamic Covenant

Noachic Covenant

Abrahamic Covenants

Old Covenant
(Torah of Moses)

Davidic Covenant

Four Dogmasin for Us Continue

Before the Foundation of the World
1 Pet., 1:17–21; Eph., 1:3–5

After Adam’s Creation
Gen., 2:15f, 3:1–23

After Noah’s Flood
Gen., 8:13–9:17

2054/2053–2004/2003 B.C.E.*
From Abraham’s migration to Kanaan at age 75 until his offering of Isaak Gen., 12:1–22:18

1954/1953 B.C.E.
Gen., 26:1–5; Ps., 105:8–10;
1 Chron., 16:14–18

1869/1868 B.C.E.
Gen., 35:6–20; Ps., 105:8–10;
1 Chron., 16:14–18
430 years before the Torah given at Mount Sinai
Gal., 3:17; Exod., 12:40

1439/1438–1400/1399 B.C.E.
From the Torah at Mount Sinai to the Trans-Jordan Covenant recorded in Deuteronomy
Exod., 20:1–Deut., 31:26

992/991 B.C.E.
Ps., 89:1–4, 34–37;
2 Sam., 7:4–29;
1 Chron., 17:3–27

Dogmasin Against Us Annulled at Yahushua’s Death

Phasekh 30 C.E.
Eph., 2:11–17; Col., 2:13–15;
Acts, 15:1–29, 16:4

*All dates are Abib reckoning (i.e., from the spring of one year to the spring of the next)